Table of Contents

Agenda	1
Minutes	2-9
Financials	10-14
Captiva Island Benefit Analysis	15-36
Resolution 2010-10	37-38

Agenda

Town Hall Meeting Captiva Erosion Prevention District

Tween Waters Inn, Wakefield Room 15951 Captiva Drive, Captiva, Florida 33924 October 19, 2010 @ 1PM

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call
- II. Welcome and Introductions
- III. PowerPoint Presentation
 - a) Why Beach Nourishment on Captiva
 - b) How CEPD Works to Nourish Capitva's Beach
 - c) Why Now?
 - d) 2013 Construction Project
 - e) Voter Referendum
- IV. Questions and Answers

Adjourn

Agenda

Regular Meeting of the Captiva Erosion Prevention District

South Seas Plantation, Auger Room 5400 Plantation Road, Captiva, Florida 33924 October 20, 2010 @ Noon

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of September Minutes
- IV. Financial Report
- V. Public Meeting on Beach Maintenance Project Description, Specifications, Estimates, and Tentative Apportionment of Costs
- VI. Public to be Heard
- VII. Resolution 2010-10 Adjourn

RESOLUTION 2010-10 BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CAPTIVA EROSION PREVENTION DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WHEREAS, the Captiva Erosion Prevention District ("District") is authorized by Chapter 2000-399, Laws of Florida as a beach and shore preservation district; and

WHEREAS, the District has developed and adopted a comprehensive beach and shore preservation program and a beach maintenance program for the area within its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the District has authorized and ordered the construction of "The Captiva Island 2013-2014 Beach Maintenance Renourishment Project" (the "2013-2014 Project") under Section 10(1), Chapter 2000-399, Laws of Florida, which Project shall consist of maintenance renourishment of the Captiva Beach Restoration Project by the placement of fill thereon from the northern end beginning at Redfish Pass extending south to the southern end at Blind Pass; and

WHEREAS, the District Engineer has prepared plans, specifications and estimates of cost for the 2013-2014 Project pursuant to Section 10(3), Chapter 2000-399 and has filed a copy with the Board in the District offices; and

WHEREAS, the District Engineer with the assistance of the District's economist has prepared a tentative apportionment of the estimated total cost for the 2013-2014 Project pursuant to Section 10(5), Chapter 2000-399 and has filed a copy with the Board in the District offices; and

WHEREAS, the District has given notice of the regular meeting of October 20, 2010, at which meeting it heard objections to the confirmation of a resolution accepting the filings. Notice was provided by publication and by mail to individuals not later than ten days before the hearing.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CAPTIVA EROSION PREVENTION DISTRICT OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA that:

Section 1. The Board hereby accepts and confirms by this Resolution the tentative apportionment and plans, specifications and estimates of cost for the 2013-2014 Project, filed by the District Engineer with the Board.

<u>Section 2.</u> The Board directs that all written objections to the confirmation of this Resolution that have been provided to the Board at or before the time of the October 20, 2010 hearing shall be filed and maintained in the District offices.

tered by Commissioner	who
DAY OF OCTOBER, 2010.	
Board of Commissioners of th Erosion Prevention District	ne Captiva
By:	
	DAY OF OCTOBER, 2010. Board of Commissioners of the Erosion Prevention District By:

Minutes Tentative Budget Hearing Captiva Erosion Prevention District

Tween Waters Inn, Ding Darling Room 15951 Captiva Drive, Captiva, Florida 33924 September 7, 2010 @ 5:01 p.m.

Commissioners Present: Mike Mullins (Chairman); Dave Jensen (Treasurer)

Via Teleconference: Doris Holzheimer (Vice Chair)

Commissioners Absent: Rene Miville (Commissioner), Harry Kaiser (Secretary)

Consultants Present; Kathleen Rooker (CEPD Senior Administrative Consultant); John Bralove (CEPD Administrative Consultant).

I. Call to Order

Chair Mullins called the meeting to order at 5:01p.m.

II. Roll Call

The roll was called and the results are detailed above. With Ms. Holzheimer participating via teleconference, she can both listen and be heard and the public can both fully hear and communicate with her.

III. Public to be Heard

There were members of the public in attendance.

IV. Budget Discussion

Mr. Mullins announced the percentage by which the proposed millage rate exceeds the rollback rate at 19.35%. The TRIM Notice advertised a proposed rate of .3010. The 2009 (rolled back) rate was .2522. He also announced that the name of the taxing authority is the Captiva Erosion Prevention District. At the .2522 rate, the percentage increase is 0%. The total budget is \$327,741. The reserve would be \$40,526. At the .3010 rate, the budget would be \$391,158 and the reserve would be \$100,772

Mr. Jensen moved and Mr. Mullins seconded to approve the rolled back rate at .2522 for the 2010 millage rate for ad valorem taxes. There was no opposition and the motion carried.

Mr. Jensen moved and Mr. Jensen seconded a motion to adopt the budget that is supported by the millage rate of .2522. There was no opposition and the motion carried.

The Commissioners agreed to set the final budget hearing for September 21 at 5:01 pm

V. Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:2 pm.

Minutes Regular Meeting of the Captiva Erosion Prevention District

Tween Waters Inn, Ding Darling Room 15951 Captiva Drive, Captiva, Florida 33924 September 8, 2010 @ 12:00 p.m.

Commissioners Present: Mike Mullins (Chairman); Dave Jensen (Treasurer)

Via Teleconference: Doris Holzheimer (Vice Chair)

Commissioners Absent: Rene Miville (Commissioner), Harry Kaiser (Secretary)

Consultants Present: Dr. William Stronge (Economist); Chris Day, C.P. &E; Kathleen Rooker (CEPD Senior Administrative Consultant); John Bralove (CEPD Administrative Consultant).

I. Call to Order

Chair Mullins called the meeting to order at 12:17 p.m.

II. Roll Call

The roll was called and the results are detailed above. In view of a lack of a quorum due to the scheduled absence of Mr. Kaiser and the emergency absence of Mr. Miville, Chairman Mullins ruled that the meeting would continue but no votes would be taken.

III. Public to be Heard

Ms. Rooker read a letter from Jim Boyle, Captiva property owner, 14790 Captiva Drive, at his request both for the record and for discussion by the Commissioners at this meeting. Mr. Boyle was unable to attend today's meeting because of scheduled surgery. His letter raised objections to his current apportionment formula. Ms. Rooker also read additional comments sent in a second letter. Ms Rooker said that she had forwarded all this information to Dr. Stronge and he will address those concerns in his report.

Mr. Mullins said that he had talked to Dr. Stronge and that Dr. Stronge stated that adjustments could be made for a "disbenefited" property that abuts public access areas.

There were no other comments from the public. Mr. Mullins then proposed to reorder the agenda in order to accommodate Lee County Department of Transportation staff that is attending this meeting. There was no objection.

IV. Old Business

(a) Lee County DOT Plans for Retention Ponds at Blind Pass/Turner Beach

Ms. Rooker introduced Clay Simmons of the Lee County Department of Transportation, Operations Division, who discussed a maintenance operation requested by South Florida Water Management District on an existing retention area adjacent to the Turner Beach/Blind Pass parking area. Commissioners asked questions regarding structures, safety, whether the area would foster mosquito breeding, missing drains, and guard rails. Commissioners agreed that their concerns had been answered. Lee County DOT staff said that the project would start as soon as possible. Mr. Mullins thanked the DOT staff for coming.

(b) Blind Pass Monitoring and Survey Plans and Fee Schedules

Ms. Rooker reported that Robert Neal would not be making his report today. It will be rescheduled.

V. Financial Report

Financial data was presented in the Board of Commissioners packets. There was discussion of the Capital Budget. Mr. Mullins discussed possible negative cash flow if the November 2 referendum does not pass. He mentioned that CEPD is required to keep reserves sufficient enough to enable the District to initiate the next project pending the approval of a referendum according to CEPD's Rules of Procedure.

Mr. Mullins requested that grant revenue income and the expenses that offset them be listed as separate line items.

There were no questions from the Commissioners. Mr. Mullins said that there would be further discussion of the finances at the end of the meeting but wished to move to the next agenda item so that Dr. Stronge could begin his presentation.

VI. New Business

a) Benefit Analysis and Apportionment Plan

William Stronge presented his report with additional comments from Chris Day. A copy of the report was contained in the Board packets. Dr. Stronge and Mr. Day went through the various tables and answered questions. After discussion of the report, Board members listed 6 items that they would like Dr. Stronge to review and possibly adjust:

- phasing eliminating it
- disproportional storm protection in the 'Tween Waters area
- disruption to properties adjacent to public areas
- apportionment related to shared or common elements
- · single family houses that are being rented
- single family rates for homesteaded multi-family properties

Other discussion involved:

- Ms. Holzheimer emphasized that nourishment includes adding sand that is not visible, under water. It protects the visible beach.
- Agreement that data in the letters that go out to homeowners contain a high and low number for tentative assessments.
- Next steps in sending letters to homeowners
- Why CEPD pays for nourishment for South Seas Resort

• Meeting with Lee County to reach agreement on a formula for their cost share.

b) Lee County Parks and Recreation Dept. Request for Commissioner Comment

Part of the discussion of this item involved why CEPD is involved in the issue brought to CEPD regarding possibly illegal use by commercial businesses of public beaches. Mr. Mullins explained that Barbara Manzo of Parks and Recreation wants CEPD input and is recognizing the District's authority on Captiva. There are issues of safety, vendors illegally using public property, and licensing. Mr. Mullins agreed to talk with one of the offending vendors and Commissioners agreed to communicate continuing interest to Ms. Manzo in the possibility of licensing for concessions.

c) Boyle Property Proposal

Barbara Manzo, Lee County Parks and Recreation, has inquired as to whether CEPD is interested in a joint venture to acquire this property for the purposes of conservation, expanding the parking area, use of the existing house for office space, and use of the bathroom facilities for beach-goers at the Hagerup Beach. Ms. Rooker was asked to get more information from Mr. Boyle and the idea will be brought back to the commissioners.

d) Communication Activities Schedule Version 6-2 and Targets

The proposed communications activities schedule was discussed and staff will propose assignments for the commissioners.

VII. Report of the Senior Administrative Consultant

a) October Regular Board Meeting Date

Ms. Rooker reported that CEPD's attorney has said that the apportionment meeting held in October must be part of the regular Board meeting. The Regular Board meeting will be on October 20 and apportionment will be part of this meeting. The Town Hall meeting will be on October 19.

b) Partners in Progress SOP Status Report

Ms. Rooker mentioned that this report was in the Board's materials and offered a brief summary. Mr. Mullins asked that the total amount of money spent on this project be reported at the next meeting. There was some discussion of PIP's contract in general. Mr. Mullins stated that Bob Gray may come down after the referendum to talk to the Commissioners and answer their questions regarding the contract

c) County Manger Karen Hawes and Commissioner John Manning Meeting

Ms. Rooker reported that she has been assured that a meeting will be forthcoming although the date has not been set.

d) Vote Yard Sign Example

The topic of wording and location of signs urging voters to both register and vote on the referendum was discussed and wording for the signs was determined.

VIII. Commissioners Comments

Mr. Jensen commented that he did not have anything more to report about the finances. Mr. Mullins continued the discussion about the tentative apportionment and the some of the inconsistencies and lack of equity contained in Dr. Stronge's report.

IX. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3:47 pm.

Minutes

Final Budget Hearing of the Captiva Erosion Prevention District

Tween Waters Inn, Wakefield Room 15951 Captiva Drive, Captiva, Florida 33924 September 21, 2010 @ 5:01PM

Commissioners Present: Mike Mullins (Chairman); Doris Holzheimer (Vice Chair); Dave Jensen (Treasurer); Harry Kaiser (Secretary); Rene Miville (Commissioner).

Consultants Present: Kathleen Rooker (CEPD Senior Administrative Consultant); John Bralove (CEPD Administrative Consultant).

I. Call to Order

Chair Mullins called the meeting to order at 5:01 p.m.

II. Roll Call

The roll was called and the results are detailed above.

III. Public to be Heard

Chair Mullins called for Public Comments about the proposed tax rate (tentative millage rate is 0.2522). There were no comments from the public. He announced that there is no increase in the millage rate over the roll back rate. The roll back rate is 0.2522 and the proposed millage rate is 0.2522.

IV. Budget Discussion

Mr. Mullins announced that before adopting the millage levy resolution, he wishes to publically announce that the:

Name of the taxing authority is the Captiva Erosion Prevention District;

Rolled back rate is 0.2522:

Percentage increase over the rolled back rate is 0%;

Millage rate levied is 0.2522.

V. Adoption of Final Millage Rate for FY 2010-2011

Ms. Rooker called the attention of the Commissioners that Resolution 2010-8 adopting a final millage rate for FY 2010-2011 is contained in the Board packet. Ms. Holzheimer moved and Mr. Jensen seconded to approve the resolution. The motion passed unanimously.

VI. Adoption of Final Budget for FY 2010-2011

Mr. Mullins read Resolution 2010-9 adopting a final budget for FY 2010-2011 in its entirety. Mr. Miville moved and Ms. Holzheimer seconded to approve the resolution. The motion passed unanimously.

VII. Adjourn

There being no other business, Mr. Mullins adjourned the meeting at 5:07 p.m.

CEPD - GENERAL FUND

Budget Performance - Summary

For the One and Eleven Months Ended August 31, 2010

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
	Actual -August '10	Budget - August '10	Variance - August '10	Acutal YTD	YTD Budget	YTD Variance	Annual Budget	Residual Budget
Ordinary Income/Expense								
Income						1	1	
Ad Valorem Tax	0.00	0.00	0.00	313,871.90	308,741.00	5,130.90	308,741.00	0.00
Interest income - Other	49.86	0.00	49.86	1,263.14	0.00	1,263.14	0.00	0.00
Other Income	0.00	0.00	0.00	13,042.76	800.00	12,242.76	900.00	0.00
Total Income	49.86	0.00	49.86	328,177.80	309,541.00	18,636.80	309,641.00	0.00
Gross Profit	49.86	0.00	49.86	328,177.80	309,541.00	18,636.80	309,641.00	0.00
Expense			- 1					
Administrative expenses	5,213.51	4,581.00	632.51	47,623.69	48,764.00	(1,140.31)	54,000.00	6,376.31
Capital outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	683.64	2,250.00	(1,566.36)	3,000.00	2,316.36
Reserves	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	103,290.00	103,290.00
Cost of collecting Ad Valorem	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,141.82	4,800.00	3,341.82	4,800.00	0.00
Legal and professional fees	10,990.00	12,045.00	(1,055.00)	137,952.35	132,505.00	5,447.35	144,551.00	6,598.65
Total Expense	16,203.51	16,626.00	(422.49)	194,401.50	188,319.00	6,082.50	309,641.00	115,239.50
Net Ordinary Income	(16,153.65)	(16,626.00)	472.35	133,776.30	121,222.00	12,554.30	0.00	(115,239.50)
Net Income	(16,153.65)	(16,626.00)	472.35	133,776.30	121,222.00	12,554.30	0.00	(115,239.50)

CAPTIVA EROSION PREVENTION DISTRICT CAPTIAL PROJECTS

BUDGET PERFORMANCE - SUMMARY

For The One and Eleven Months Ended August 31, 2010

(A) (B) (D) (E) (F) (G) (H) Actual - August '10 Budget - August '10 Variance - August '10 YTD Actual YTD Budget YTD Variance Annual Budget Residual Budget Ordinary Income/Expense Income Interest Income 376.80 1,250.00 (873.20) 5,653.17 13,750.00 (8.096.83)15,000.00 9.346.83 Other miscellaneous revenue 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 368.95 368.95 0.00 Parking lot revenue 13,925.86 10,380.00 3,545.86 150.531.14 100,000.00 0.00 50.531.14 100,000.00 Refund unused project costs 0.00 0.00 0.00 60.627.52 75,000.00 (14,372.48)75,000.00 14,372.48 Reserves - General 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 103,290.00 103,290.00 0.00 **Total Special Assessments** 1.924.00 463.00 1,461.00 582,051.14 534,330.00 534,330.00 47,721.14 0.00 Total Income 16,226.66 12,093.00 4,133.66 799,231.92 723,080.00 76,151.92 827,620.00 127,009.31 Expense 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Advertising 0.00 0.00 0.00 2,050.00 (2,050.00)0.00 2,050.00 2,050.00 Annual memberships & fees 0.00 91.00 (91.00)0.00 5,910.00 (5,910.00)6,000.00 6,000.00 Bank service charges 0.00 9.00 (9.00)3.00 92.00 97.00 (89.00)100.00 Beach maintenance 0.00 0.00 0.00 62,568.12 110,000.00 (47,431.88) 123,000.00 60,431.88 Blind Pass project 0.00 0.00 142.875.42 0.00 686,965.00 (544,089.58 686,965.00 544,089.58 Cost of Assessment Collections 0.00 1.00 (1.00)1,163.37 1,200.00 (36.63)1,200.00 36.63 Engineering (CP) 6,559.34 1,995.00 4,564.34 20,451.44 35,000.00 (14.548.56 35,000.00 14.548.56 Grant Reimbursement 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 374,000.00 (374,000.00) 374,000.00 374,000.00 Insurance 0.00 0.00 0.00 10,644.00 6,100.00 4,544.00 6,100.00 0.00 Parking lot expenses 2,145.85 2,037.00 108.85 24,629.89 25,413.00 (783.11 26,500.00 4,203.12 Project Management Support 5,027.50 20,416.00 (15,388.50)116,637,49 187,887.00 (71,249.51 248,800.00 132,162.51 Renourishment 2013/14 Design Phase 24,260.00 97,499.00 (73, 239.00)87,815.00 487,498.00 (399,683.00 585,000.00 497,800.00 Rent 404.14 583.00 (178.86)5,317.40 (1.099.60)7,000.00 6,417.00 1,682.60 Storage of records 159.00 159.00 0.00 1,749.00 1,750.00 1,910.00 (1.00)161.00 Website Development 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3,000.00 (3,000.00)3.000.00 3.000.00 Total Expense 38,555,83 122,790.00 (84,234.17) 473,854.13 1,933,282.00 (1,459,427.87 2,106,625.00 1,640,262.88 Net Ordinary Income (Loss) (22,329.17)(110,697.00)88,367.83 325,377.79 (1,210,202.00)1,535,579,79 (1,279,005.00)(1,513,253.57)Total Other Expense 0.00 0.00 0.00 491,874.96 491,915.00 (40.04) 491,915.00 40.29 Net Income (22, 329.17)(110,697.00)88,367.83 (166,497,17)1.535.619.83 (1,702,117.00)(1,770,920.00)(1,513,293.86)

10/13/2010 12:00 PM Prepared: JMP

CEPD - GENERAL FUND Balance Sheet As of August 31, 2010

	August '10
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Checking/Savings	
S.B.A. Account	112.37
Wachovia - checking	323,927.49
Total Checking/Savings	324,039.86
Total Current Assets	324,039.86
TOTAL ASSETS	324,039.86
LIABILITIES & EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Other Current Liabilities	
Due to Capital Projects Fund	30,419.78
Total Other Current Liabilities	30,419.78
Total Current Liabilities	30,419.78
Total Liabilities	30,419.78
Equity	
Fund Balance	159,843.78
Net Income	133,776.30
Total Equity	293,620.08
TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY	324,039.86

10/13/2010 12:00 PM Prepared: JMP

CEPD - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND

Balance Sheet As of August 31, 2010

	August '10
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Checking/Savings	
Checking Wachovia Capital	1,664,275.55
Sanibel Captiva Bank - CD	250,000.00
SBA	213,903.01
Total Checking/Savings	2,128,178.56
Other Current Assets	
Due From General Fund	30,419.78
Total Other Current Assets	30,419.78
Total Current Assets	2,158,598.34
TOTAL ASSETS	2,158,598.34
LIABILITIES & EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Other Current Liabilities	
Due to other governments	487,597.00
Total Other Current Liabilities	487,597.00
Total Current Liabilities	487,597.00
Total Liabilities	487,597.00
Equity	
Fund Balance	1,837,498.51
Net Income	(166,497.17)
Total Equity	1,671,001.34
TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY	2,158,598.34

	GENERAL FUND	CAPTIAL FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
CASH and CASH EQUIVILANTS August 31, 2010			
Checking	\$323,927	\$1,664,276	\$1,988,203
S.B.A.	112	213,903	214,015
Certificates of Deposit		250,000	250,000
TOTAL CASH and CASH EQUIVILANTS August 31, 2010	324,040	2,128,179	2,452,218
PROJECTED ACTIVITY - AUGUST			
Plus:			
Parking Lot Receipts		11,130	11,130
Reserves		103,290	103,290
Other Income			-
Due From General Fund		24,773	24,773
Special Assessments collected		1,632	1,632
Tax Collector Distribution			-
Total Additions	-	140,825	140,825
Less:			
Projected Expenditures - September			
Special Assessment Refunds		9,989	9,989
Partners in Progress	8,500	8,688	17,188
Engineering		3,048	3,048
2013/2014 Renourishment		5,100	5,100
Adminstrative costs	3,119	659	3,778
Parking lot		2,030	2,030
Property Appraise/Tax Collector Fees			-
Due to Projects	24,773		24,773
Rent	1,386	498	1,885
Reserves	103,290		103,290
Professional Fees	970	1,250	2,220
Total Reductions	142,039	31,262	173,301
Net Projected Increase(Decrease)	(142,039)	109,563	(32,476)

CAPTIVA ISLAND 2013-14 BEACH NOURISHMENT PROJECT BENEFIT ANALYSIS

PREPARED FOR:

CAPTIVA EROSION PREVENTION DISTRICT

SEPTEMBER 2010

Prepared by:

William B. Stronge, Ph.D. Regional Research Associates, Inc. and Coastal Planning & Engineering, Inc. Boca Raton, FL 33431 The enabling legislation of the CEPD requires that special assessments used to finance an erosion control project be levied against benefitting properties in proportion to the benefits received by the properties from the project. The benefits from the 2013-14 nourishment of Captiva's beaches are given in TABLE 1. The benefits considered are the same as those that were used to determine assessments for the previous beach nourishment projects undertaken on Captiva since 1989.

TABLE 1 Benefits of the 2013-14 Beach Renourishment Project Dollars				
Benefit	Amount	Percent		
Storm Protection Roads Other Public Property Other Private Property Sub-Total	\$ 12,521 \$ 670,282 \$ 2,427,279 \$ 3,110,082	0.1 6.5 23.5 30.1		
Recreation Day Visitors Single Family Properties Multi-family Properties Interval Properties Commercial Properties Sub-Total	\$ 2,862,870 \$ 1,164,598 \$ 1,885,656 \$ 356,302 \$ 938,646 \$ 7,208,073	27.7 11.3 18.3 3.5 9.1 69.9		
Total	\$ 10,318,154	100.0		

Note: Items may not add to totals because of rounding errors. Public property includes the two public beaches and land seaward of the Erosion Control Line.

The 2013-14 nourishment of Captiva's beaches will yield benefits of \$10,318,154 each year over the fifteen year life of the project. This annual benefit compares to the average annual cost of the Captiva Island Project of \$1,696,840 so that the benefit cost ratio is 6.1.

¹ The ratio compares the benefits of the Captiva Island Project to the costs of the same project. If the costs of the Northern Sanibel project are included, the average annual cost rises to \$2,074,973 and the benefit

The benefits from the nourishment project are twofold: storm protection and recreation. Table 1 shows that the recreation benefits are considerable larger than the storm protection benefits, \$ 7,208,073 in recreation compared to \$ 3,110,082. This is the usual case with a renourishment, since the project adds sand to an existing beach that already provides significant protection to upland properties. The benefits from the 1989 beach restoration were evenly divided between storm protection and recreation because much of the shoreline had little or no beach.

The benefits in TABLE 1 also distinguish between publicly owned and privately owned properties. The share of the project costs accounted for by the benefits to roads and other public properties are covered by a grant from Lee County. The County grant also covers the cost share for the benefits received by day visitors who use Captiva's beaches for recreational purposes.

TABL	E 2			
Annual Benefits to Private Property Owners on Captiva				
2013-14 Beach 1	Nourishment			
Benefit	Amount	Percent		
Storm Protection for Private Properties Recreation for Single-Family Residences Recreation for Multi-family Residences Recreation for Interval properties Recreation for Commercial Properties	\$ 2,427,279 \$ 1,164,598 \$ 1,885,656 \$ 356,302 \$ 938,646	35.8 17.2 27.8 5.3 13.9		
Total	\$ 6,772,481	100.0		

Note: items may not add to totals because of rounding.

TABLE 2 shows the annual benefits received by private property owners on Captiva. These amounted to \$6,772,481. The percentages in the TABLE show how the

cost ratio falls to 5.0. The storm protection benefit relative to total costs of the Captiva Island project is 1.8; relative to the cost of the Captiva Island and Northern Sanibel projects, the benefit cost ratio falls to 1.5. The storm protection benefit cost ratio needs to exceed 1.0 in order to qualify the project for federal funding.

cost of the project, less the Lee County grant, will be shared by property owners on Captiva. Of course, properties that do not receive storm protection benefits will not pay a share of the cost for storm protection. All residential and commercial properties will pay a share of the cost for providing recreational benefits.²

Annual Private	TABLE 3 Property Storm Protection	Benefits			
	By Beach Zone				
2013	-14 Nourishment Project				
Beach Zone	Amount	Percent			
Upper South Seas Lower South Seas Village Tween Waters Road Upper Gold Coast High Erosion Gold Coast	\$ 342,001 \$ 634,185 \$ 450,930 \$ 378,989 \$ 232,692 \$ 388,482	14.1 26.1 18.6 15.6 9.6 16.0			
Total	\$ 2,427,279	100.0			

Note: Items may not add to totals because of rounding.

Details on the private storm protection benefits are given in TABLE 3. Storm protection benefits will only be received by beachfront properties, and the beachfront properties were grouped into beach zones. The beach zones were selected on the basis of erosion conditions in the different beach areas. The zones are the same as those used in the Apportionment Plan for the 1997 Beach Nourishment Project although the borders were adjusted to match recent erosion trends. The Upper South Seas zone contains the South Seas Golf Course and the ten single family properties to the south. The remaining beachfront properties in South Seas Plantation as well as Hagerup Beach and the property immediately to its south were also included in the Lower South Seas zone. The Village

4

² Commercial properties include those owned by for-profit utilities and other miscellaneous properties owned by for-profit enterprises.

zone consisted of beachfront properties below the first property south of Hagerup Park and north of the point where Captiva turns east at the end of the Tween Waters road segment. The Tween Waters Road zone included the beachfront properties straddling the one-mile stretch of road on front of Tween Waters Inn. The Upper Gold Coast zone runs south from the southern end of the Tween Waters road section. The High Erosion Gold Coast zone runs from the southern end of the Upper Gold Coast zone to Blind Pass Inlet. Further information on the beach zones will found in Appendix A.

The percentages in TABLE 3 show how the portion of the project cost due to private storm protection will be shared by the property owners in the six beach zones. The largest shares of storm protection benefits are in the Lower South Seas zone (26.1 percent) and the Village zone (18.6 percent). Benefits received by the private properties in the Upper Gold Coast zone are relatively low because the zone has been accretional in recent years.

TABLE 4				
Annual Recreations	al Benefits Received By Captiv	a Private Properties		
	By Type of Property	-		
	2013-14 Nourishment Project			
Type of Property	Amount	Percent		
Single-Family	\$ 1,164,598	26.8		
Multi-Family	\$ 1,885,656	43.4		
Interval Units	\$ 356,302	8.2		
Commercial	\$ 938,646	21.6		
Total	\$ 4,345,203	100.0		

Note: items may not add to totals because of rounding.

The portion of the project cost due to recreational benefits will be shared by four different types of properties according to the percentages shown in TABLE 4. The

grouping of properties is the same as was used in the Apportionment Plan for the 1997 Beach Nourishment. The properties were grouped on the basis of the frequency of beach use by their residents, guests or customers. Surveys of beach users since 1986 substantiated the differences in intensity of beach use by these property types. The percentages in TABLE 4 show how the portion of the project cost due to recreation will be shared by the property owners of the four property types. Multifamily properties will receive the largest share of benefits (43.4 percent) and interval properties will receive the smallest share (8.2 percent).

TABLE 5				
Annual Benefits Received By Captiva Private Properties				
	By Type of Property			
	2013-14 Nourishment Project	D 01		
Type of Property	Benefit	Percent Share		
Storm Protection				
Upper South Seas	\$ 342,001	5.0		
Lower South Seas	\$ 634,185	9.4		
Village	\$ 450,930	6.7		
Tween Waters Road	\$ 378,989	5.6		
Upper Gold Coast	\$ 232,692	3.4		
High Erosion Gold Coast	\$ 388,482	5.7		
Subtotal Storm Protection	\$ 2,427,279	35.8		
Frontierschaften (Schricht		2000 000 C 500000		
Recreation				
Single-Family	\$ 1,164,598	17.2		
Multi-Family	\$ 1,885,656	27.8		
Interval Units	\$ 356,302	5.3		
Commercial	\$ 938,646	13.9		
	\$ 550,040	13.7		
Subtotal Recreation	\$ 4,345,203	64.2		
Subtotal Recreation	φ τ,3τ3,203	04.2		
Total	\$ 6,772,481	100.0		
10tai	\$ 0,772,401	100.0		

A summary of the benefit analysis as it applies to private properties is given in TABLE 5. Once the project cost is determined, the benefit shares become the cost shares assigned to the properties in the different benefit categories. The cost of the 2013-14 Captiva Beach Nourishment Project to be apportioned among Captiva property owners is estimated to be \$15,328,840 (TABLE 6).

TABLE 6				
Cost of 2013-14 Beach Nourishment Project				
Cost Item	Amount			
Design & Supervision Administration	\$2,122,735			
Mobilization	\$3,299,092			
Captiva Dredge & Fill Captiva Dune Revegetation Captiva Monitoring etc.	\$11,059,207 \$166,677 \$745,443			
Northern Sanibel Dredge & Fill Northern Sanibel Monitoring etc.	\$2,311,855 \$166,523			
Bowman's Beach Dredge and Fill Bowman's Beach Monitoring etc.	\$924,742 \$61,546			
Contingency @15% Construction & Mobilization	\$2,810,261			
Subtotal Construction, Mobilization & Contingency	\$21,245,336			
Total Project Costs including EDSA	\$23,668,071			
Lee County Grant (capped)	\$8,239,231			
Captiva Property Owners	\$15,328,840			

Source: Coastal Planning & Engineering, Email from Steve Keehn, September 29th, 2010. The costs are for projects on Captiva, Northern Sanibel and Bowman's Beach. The cost of the Bowman's Beach project is covered by state and county grants.

THE CEPD Board directed that calculations be made under the assumption of a \$15 million dollar project that will be paid by island private property owners. This assumes that the County Share of the cost is received, but not the Federal or the State shares. This is the same assumption governing the tentative apportionment roll as made in previous projects. The benefit shares in TABLE 5 are used to apportion the \$15,000,000 cost among the different benefit (storm protection and recreation) categories. The results are presented in TABLE 7.

TABLE 7					
Cost Shares for Captiva Private Properties					
	By Benefit Category				
	013-14 Nourishment Pro	V			
Type of Property	Benefit Share	Cost Share			
Storm Protection					
Upper South Seas	5.0	\$750,000			
Lower South Seas	9.4	\$1,410,000			
Village	6.7	\$1,005,000			
Tween Waters Road	5.6	\$840,000			
Upper Gold Coast	3.4	\$510,000			
High Erosion Gold Coast	5.7	\$855,000			
Subtotal Storm Protection	35.8	\$5,370,000			
Recreation					
Single-Family	17.2	\$2,580,000			
Multi-Family	27.8	\$4,170,000			
Interval Units	5.3	\$795,000			
Commercial	13.9	\$2,085,000			
Subtotal Recreation	64.2	\$9,630,000			
Total	100.0	\$15,000,000			

Tabulations of the "just" (market) values from the Property Appraiser's NAL File were made for the Captiva private properties in each of the benefit categories. These are

presented in TABLE 8. The cost shares are divided by the just values and the results are present as millages in the TABLE. (Millages are thousandths, or tenths of percentages.)

TABLE 8 Basic Millage Rates for the 2013-14 Beach Renourishment Project						
Benefit	Benefit Cost Shares Just Values Millage Rates					
Storm Protection						
Other Upper South Seas Properties	\$750,000	\$35,483,502	21.1366			
Lower South Seas Properties	\$1,410,000	\$225,322,699	6.2577			
Village Properties	\$1,005,000	\$45,127,687	22.2701			
Tween Waters Properties	\$840,000	\$161,553,527	5.1995			
Upper Gold Coast Properties	\$510,000	\$161,674,010	3.1545			
High Erosion Gold Coast Properties	\$855,000	\$70,975,708	12.0464			
Sub-Total	\$5,370,000	\$700,137,133	NA			
Recreation						
Single-Family	\$2,580,000	\$901,388,259	2.8623			
Multi-Family	\$4,170,000	\$297,230,118	14.0295			
Interval Units	\$795,000	\$61,803,447	12.8634			
Commercial	\$2,085,000	\$66,033,733	31.5748			
Sub-Total	\$9,630,000	\$1,322,454,385	NA			
Total	\$15,000,000	NA	NA			

NA = not applicable

The millage rates given in TABLE 8 are labeled "basic" because they do not take into account adjustments directed by the CEPD Board to fine tune the results of the benefit models. These adjustments affect a small number of properties and the details are presented in Appendix B. The resulting millage rates for the bulk of the island properties that were not adjusted are presented in TABLE 9.

TABLE 9					
Adjusted Millages for Captiva Private Properties					
***************************************	By Benefit Category				
2	2013-14 Nourishment Proj	ject			
Type of Property	Basic Millage	Adjusted Millage			
Storm Protection					
Upper South Seas	21.1366	21.2368			
Lower South Seas	6.2577	6.2874			
Village 22.2701 22.3758					
Tween Waters Road 5.1995 5.2242					
Upper Gold Coast	3.1545	3.1695			
High Erosion Gold Coast	12.0464	12.1035			
Recreation					
Single-Family	2.8623	2.9565			
Multi-Family	14.0295	14.4914			
Interval Units	12.8634	13.2868			
Commercial	31.5748	32.6142			

The tentative apportionment of cost share for any individual property not subject to adjustment is the product of the sum of the storm protection millage and recreation millage rates times its "just" (market value) as obtained from the Lee County Property Appraiser.

Appendix A: Methodology

The Beach Renourishment Project will generate a stream of benefits for property owners on Captiva Island which, ultimately, will show up as an enhancement of property values beyond the levels they would have attained in the absence of the Project. To the extent that properties are better protected from erosion and storm damage by the Renourishment Project, their values will increase. In addition, to the extent to which properties are adjacent or close to a recreational beach that is made more attractive, their values will also increase. In sum, the Beach Renourishment Project will benefit Captiva Island properties by providing two types of benefits. First, the Project will protect properties from erosion and storm damage, the "storm protection benefit". Second, the Project will provide access to an enhanced recreational beach, the "recreational benefit". These benefits are estimated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and other engineering firms for projects that receive federal funding. The basic methodology has also been validated in Lee County Court as part of a bond validation undertaken in for the 1988-89 project.

Storm Protection Benefits

Project benefits associated with storm protection were generated for properties that front on the Gulf of Mexico. The storm protection benefit to a property represents the difference between the value of expected property losses if the project is constructed compared to the value of losses if the project is not constructed.

For the purpose of determining project benefits, the enabling legislation of the Captiva Erosion Prevention District requires that Captiva properties be grouped into areas and zones. Areas are relatively large groups of properties where CEPD projects have

been undertaken in the twelve months prior to project construction. Zones are smaller divisions of areas which are characterized by the same degree of beach erosion and gradient of the beach profiles.

The entire gulf-front of Captiva is grouped into a single area because at the anticipated time of the Renourishment Project no CEPD project will have been undertaken in the preceding twelve months.

TABLE A.1				
Beach Zones Used in the Economic Analysis				
Zone	Profiles	Profiles Lee Co. Parcel Numbers Street Addresses		
1	R-84 to	2245210000005002B to	921-957 & 1200 South Seas	
1	R-87	22452100000060070	Plantation Road	
2	R-88 to	22452129000010001 to	1026-5640 South Seas Plantation	
2	R-93	26452104000010010	Road & 14790 Captiva Drive	
3A	R-94 to	26452102000010060 to	11558/560 Laika Lane to	
3A	R-96	35452101000000140	15300 Captiva Drive	
3B	R-97 to	35452101000000150 to	15201 16212 Continue Drive	
SD	R-101	034621010000B0030	15301-16213 Captiva Drive	
1	R-102 to	034621020000B0010 to	16228 16770 Continue Drive	
4	R-105	03462100000200010	16238-16770 Captiva Drive	
5	R-106 to	03462100000210010 to	16779 17200 Continue Drive	
3	R-109	11462100000010010	16778-17200 Captiva Drive	

The storm protection benefits were computed for different beach zones which are defined in TABLE A.1. The zones were delineated based on erosion conditions on the different beach areas. Erosion rates along each zone were based on the May 1996 to September 2009 erosion and accretion rates in cubic yards per year per foot (Table A.2). Zone 1 experiences the highest erosion rates, followed by the "Village Area" along Zone 3A. The southern Gold Coast (Zone 5) experiences moderate erosion rates due to the effects of Blind Pass. Although the shorelines in Zones 3B and 4 are relatively stable, properties in these reaches are vulnerable to storm damage.

Ave	TABL erage Erosion Rates		one	
Zone	Profile Lines	Erosion (+) & Accretion (-) (c.y./foot/year)	Equiv. Shoreline Retreat (-) & Advance (+) (feet/year)	Average Non-Resort Land Value per Square Foot
Upper South Seas	R-84 to R-87	-8.0	-12.0	\$56.09
2. Lower South Seas	R-88 to R-93	-0.9	-1.3	\$52.88
3A Village	R-94 to R-96	-4.8	-7.2	\$52.96
3B Tween Waters Road	R-97 to R-101	-1.3	-2.0	\$65.16
4 Upper Gold Coast	R-102 to R-105	2.9	-0.0*	\$62.97
5 High Erosion Gold Coast	R-106 to R-109	-2.4	-3.6	\$66.42

Note: In Zone 4, an "equivalent retreat" rate of 0.0 is assumed..

The storm protection received by a property is the expected loss due to erosion and storm damage in the absence of the Renourishment Project, or the cost of an alternative erosion control project for storm protection, whichever is less. A comparison of the erosion control options which include the expected loss under the "do-nothing" alternative is made for each property. The expected loss consists of the discounted stream of future losses multiplied by the probabilities of various storm events. In addition to beach conditions in each zone, expected losses reflect land and building values, beach widths and distances between buildings and the mean high water line. Future losses are discounted by an interest rate of 4.375 percent over the 15 year project life of the Renourishment Project. Revetted properties experience annual maintenance and structural damage to the revetments during the storms in the computation of project benefits. The Risk and Uncertainty Storm Damage Model version 2.0 (RU SDM, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, 1999) was used to calculate benefits in each zone.

The benefits analysis for Captiva Island was based on property value data obtained from the Lee County Property Appraiser Data Services Department. This data

was current as of July 2009 for the storm damage benefit analysis and current as of July 2010 for the recreation benefit analysis. An interest rate of 4.375% was used in this study. This rate was based on the "Memorandum for Planning Community of Practice" issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers on October 26, 2009 (http://www.usace.army.mil/CECW/PlanningCOP/Documents/egms/egm10-01.pdf). Average land values were calculated based on the total value (in dollars) and total land area (in square feet) of the non-resort parcels fronting the Gulf of Mexico. Land loss values used in the analysis appear in Table A.2.

Recreational Benefits

Recreational benefits consist of the recreational value of the beach that is created once the beach maintenance project is implemented. Unlike the storm protection benefits, the recreational benefits flow to properties on the island regardless of whether they are on the Gulf or not.

The recreational value of the beach was obtained from detailed surveys of more than 800 beach users on Captiva, conducted during a nine-day period in the winter of 2010 and during a 7 day period in the summer of 2010. Surveys were collected all along the beach and the results are to be found in the reports <u>Beach Usage and Economic Impact Winter 2010</u> and <u>Beach Usage and Economic Impact Summer 2010</u>. The results were extrapolated to the entire year and projected forward to 2013-14.

The primary determinant of recreational value is beach usage. Properties which send, or can send, relatively large numbers of beach users on to the beach receive relatively greater recreational benefits than do properties which send relatively few beach users onto the beach.

Users of Captiva's beaches were classified on the basis of the type of properties that they lived in or were staying in. Such properties were classified into single family, multi-family, interval and commercial (hotel) categories. Day visitors to the beaches were not assigned to a lodging type on Captiva and were accounted for separately. On the basis of the lodging type of beach users, beach usage and recreational value was assigned to Captiva properties.

Recreational value was obtained from a willingness to pay survey of beach users. The same average willingness to pay value, projected to 2013-14 was assigned to almost all beach users, and the annual recreational value over the 15-year project life was discounted to present worth using an interest rate of 4.375 percent.

Properties can also be categorized on the basis of their land use. The land uses of properties were determined on the basis of the land use code specified on the tax roll record obtained from the Lee County Property Appraiser. No distinction was made between vacant and improved properties. Multi-family properties on the island include condominiums and apartments. Commercial properties include resorts, restaurants, shops and offices. Non-lodging commercial properties were grouped with hotels and resorts because their businesses are mainly dependent, either directly or indirectly, on beach users, particularly users who spend at least one night on the island.

Commercial properties receive recreational benefits because most of their profits come from providing services to recreational users of the beach. These profits are increased as recreational use of the beach is expanded and this benefits commercial properties by increasing their value.

Institutional properties include the properties owned by the Captiva Civic Association and Chapel by the Sea. Government properties included those owned by Lee County and the Captiva Island Fire Association, There were also a small number of miscellaneous properties including those owned by utilities and rights of way. Storm protection benefits were calculated for institutional, government and miscellaneous properties that were located on the beachfront. Recreation benefits were not computed for institutional or government properties. Utility and right of way properties owned by for-profit enterprises were included with commercial properties in the assignment of recreation benefits.

Appendix B: Adjustments to the Benefit Analysis

Adjustments to Storm Protection Benefits and Adjusted Millages

The Board directed that two adjustments be made to the storm protection benefits, namely, an adjustment for properties extending seaward of the road but with their structures landward of the road at the south end of the island and for a common element right of way property in the Upper Gold Coast Reach.

East Turner Beach Properties

There are two properties immediately north of the Turner Beach public parcel where the structures are landward of Captiva Drive. The road acts as a revetment that protects the structures and the portion of the land that is landward of the road from erosion and storm damage. The millage rate for these properties was applied to fifty percent of their land values, as obtained from the Lee County Property Appraiser's NAL file to determine their storm protection cost shares. The adjustment for East Turner Beach reduces the sum of the storm protection assessments by \$28,663. This adjustment was also made in previous tentative apportionment rolls.

Captiva Gulf Way Improvement Association

There is a right of way parcel in the Upper Gold Coast beach zone that has a zero just value in the Property Appraiser's NAL file. This is because the Property Appraiser treats the parcel as a "common element" for members of the Association and each member's share of the value of the right of way parcel is added to the just value of the member's primary parcel elsewhere on Captiva. This treatment will ensure that the recreational assessments will; be computed correctly but it does not allow for the storm protection benefit. The storm protection assessment for this parcel was calculated using an estimate of its land value. The estimate was based on the average of the land values

per square foot of the two parcels on either side of the right of way parcel and multiplying it by the square footage of the right of way. This adjustment increases the sum of storm protection assessments by \$3,195.

Effect on Revenues from Storm Protection Assessments

The effect on revenues of making these adjustments to the storm prevention methodology is a loss of \$25,468 as shown in TABLE B.1.

TA	ABLE B.1
Adjustments to the S	torm Protection Assessments
Source Revenue Changes	
East Turner Beach Parcels Gulf Way Improvement Association	- \$ 28,663 + \$ 3,195
Total -\$ 25,468	

The basic storm protection millage rates were proportionately increased in order to make up the revenue loss. TABLE B.2 shows the resulting changes in millage rates.

	ABLE B.2		
Adjusted Storm	Protection Millage Rat	es	
Beach Zone Basic Millage Rates Adjusted Millage Rat			
Upper South Seas Properties	21.1366	21.2368	
Lower South Seas Properties 6.2577 6.2874			
Village Properties	22.2701	22.3758	
Tween Waters Properties	5.1995	5.2242	
Upper Gold Coast Properties	3.1545	3.1695	
High Erosion Gold Coast Properties	12.0464	12.1035	

Adjustments to Recreation Benefits and Adjusted Millages

The Board directed that homesteaded multifamily properties be given recreational assessments at the single family rate because the beach surveys have shown that year round residents of the island are relatively infrequent users of the beach, compared to transients. This adjustment to the tentative apportionment roll was also made by previous Boards.

Homesteaded Multifamily Properties

The just value of homesteaded multifamily properties is \$28,386,675. If these properties are assessed for recreational benefits at the single family rate, the loss in revenues from the recreational assessment program would amount to \$317,002.

TABLE B.3						
	Но	mesteaded	Multifamily Pr	operties		
	Just	Original	Original	Adjusted	New	Revenue
	Value	Millage	Assessments	Millages	Assessments	Change
Homesteaded Non-	\$28,386,676	14.0295	\$ 398,252	2.8623	\$ 81,250	-\$317,002
Homesteaded	\$268,843,442	14.0295	\$3,771,748	14.0295	\$3,771,748	\$0

The basic recreational millage rates was proportionately increased in order to make up the revenue loss. TABLE B.4 shows the resulting changes in millage rates.

	TABLE B.4			
Adj	usted Recreation Millage Ra	ites		
Beach Zone	Basic Millage Rates	Adjusted Millage Rates		
Single Family Properties	2.8623	2.9565		
Multifamily Properties	14.0295	14.4914		
Interval Properties 12.8634 13.2868				
Commercial Properties	31.7578	32.6142		

Appendix C: Tentative Apportionment Cost if Federal Funding is Not Received

The Board directed that a tentative apportionment roll be prepared under the assumption that no federal funding is received. It is assumed that the Lee County Share and State of Florida share are received. The resulting share for Captiva property owners is given in TABLE B.5.

TABLE B.5 Tentative Apportionment of Cost for Captiva Island Property Owners		
If No Federal Fur	nding is Received	
	Amount	
Total Cost of Project \$23,668,071 Less State Share \$7,348,936 Less County Share \$8,239,321		
Equals CEPD Share \$8,079,814		

Source: Coastal Planning & Engineering, Email from Steve Keehn, September 29th, 2010. The costs are for projects on Captiva, Northern Sanibel and Bowman's Beach. The cost of the Bowman's Beach project is covered by state and county grants.

The resulting CEPD share was proportioned across the island's properties using the shares in the total assessments under the assumption that \$15,000,000 would be collected by the assessment program.

RESOLUTION 2010-10 BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CAPTIVA EROSION PREVENTION DISTRICT LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

WHEREAS, the Captiva Erosion Prevention District ("District") is authorized by Chapter 2000-399, Laws of Florida as a beach and shore preservation district; and

WHEREAS, the District has developed and adopted a comprehensive beach and shore preservation program and a beach maintenance program for the area within its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the District has authorized and ordered the construction of "The Captiva Island 2013-2014 Beach Maintenance Renourishment Project" (the "2013-2014 Project") under Section 10(1), Chapter 2000-399, Laws of Florida, which Project shall consist of maintenance renourishment of the Captiva Beach Restoration Project by the placement of fill thereon from the northern end beginning at Redfish Pass extending south to the southern end at Blind Pass; and

WHEREAS, the District Engineer has prepared plans, specifications and estimates of cost for the 2013-2014 Project pursuant to Section 10(3), Chapter 2000-399 and has filed a copy with the Board in the District offices; and

WHEREAS, the District Engineer with the assistance of the District's economist has prepared a tentative apportionment of the estimated total cost for the 2013-2014 Project pursuant to Section 10(5), Chapter 2000-399 and has filed a copy with the Board in the District offices; and

WHEREAS, the District has given notice of the regular meeting of October 20, 2010, at which meeting it heard objections to the confirmation of a resolution accepting the filings. Notice was provided by publication and by mail to individuals not later than ten days before the hearing.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CAPTIVA EROSION PREVENTION DISTRICT OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA that:

- Section 1. The Board hereby accepts and confirms by this Resolution the tentative apportionment and plans, specifications and estimates of cost for the 2013-2014 Project, filed by the District Engineer with the Board.
- Section 2. The Board directs that all written objections to the confirmation of this Resolution that have been provided to the Board at or before the time of the October 20, 2010 hearing shall be filed and maintained in the District offices.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was off	Fered by Commissioner who
moved its adoption. The motion was second being put to vote, the vote was as follows:	ded by Commissioner and upon
Chairman Michael Mullins Vice Chairman Doris Holzheimer Treasurer Dave Jensen Secretary Henry A.Kaiser Commissioner Renee Miville	
DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS _	DAY OF OCTOBER, 2010.
	Board of Commissioners of the Captiva Erosion Prevention District
	By:
Certified by:	
Secretary	
Approved by:	
Attorney	