

# BAYSIDE PROTECTION RFP RESPONSES ANALYSIS --- LML

- **STEP ONE: SOLUTION STRATEGY: Policy/ Regulation focused**
- **STEP TWO: Technical Design and Engineering**
  
- **Team Composition**
- **Construction**
- **Final Comments**

# SOLUTION STRATEGY – AS I SEE IT

1. Figure out what is POSSIBLE from a regulatory / legal / permitting standpoint
  1. Issue are:
    1. Primarily Private Land with no Easements
    2. Disparate Sections of Shoreline (hardscape, softscape, wake, waves, depth, current, wind protection) => Different technical solutions for different properties/areas will be required
    3. Water is all Aquatic Preserve and Owned by State (may be some deeded sections of water)
    4. Owners may not want “solutions” on their property
    5. 5?
  2. Possible Solutions - Strategy:
    1. Easements and CEPD does everything
    2. Easements and CEPD does some
    3. We pass regulations (Tidal barrier of X feet, etc.)
      1. Owners required to meet ordinance
      2. CEPD provides “Incentives” and help for private owners
    4. Other?
  3. Solution Strategy needs to be selected as 1<sup>st</sup> Major Step of work

# STEP 1: STRENGTHS/ WEAKNESSES

## ■ APTIM

- ++++Have Richard Grosso on team to lead policy
- -- We contracted with them to solve this issue in Phase 1 (stated differently) and did not get it resolved.

## ■ Cummings Cederberg

- ++++ Have very strong FDEP and regulatory experience
- ++++ Proposed a Stakeholder Team consisting of FDEP, Lee County, Aquatic Preserve, Panel, CEPD, (?)
  - Concept is that all of these people want us to protect the bayside and will help come up with tradeoffs and solutions vs. saying NO.
- ++++ Team includes
  - Balmoral Group who can help lead and come up with economics showing showing project is in Public Good
  - Akerman LLP who is experienced in land use and policy regarding public-private implementations

# STEP 2: TECHNICAL DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

- APTIM:
  - +++++ Great Resources on Team
- Cumming Cederberg
  - +++++ Great Resources on Team
  - May have more “relevant” experience than APTIM on similar geography/ bay situations

# TEAM COMPOSITION

## ■ APTIM

- ALL STARS
- Have not worked together that much
- Some seem not very relevant to our project (e.g., Sasaki)
- Leads are well known to CEPD

## ■ Cummins Cederberg

- Individual players and team looks great
- Economists/ FDEP experts on team
- Have worked together for years
- Cheryl Haptke well known to CEPD

# CONSTRUCTION --

- **APTIM**
  - Aptim will be well positioned to construct project (s)
- **Cummings Cederberg**
  - Does not do construction
  - Will help manage construction with various engineering companies

# FINAL COMMENTS:

## ■ MY IMPRESSION:

- APTIM sees job as
  - an overall adaptation plan, not a bayside protection plan
  - See primary challenge as getting owner buy in
- Cummings Cederberg
  - Focused on bayside
  - Sees primary challenge as regulatory/ permitting

## ■ Dangling Issue

- Stormwater Mgmt – Panel is having Kimley Horn do plan – needs to be integrated with this work.